Dense gaps and scaling relations in the interacting Aubry-André model

Vieri Mastropietro[1]

[1] *Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Saldini, 50, 20133 Milano - Italy*

We study, by rigorous Renormalization Group methods, the interacting Aubry-André model for fermions in the extended regime. We show that the infinitely many gaps of the single particle spectrum persist in presence of weak many body interactions, despite the presence of Umklapp large momentum processes connecting the Fermi points. The width of the gaps is strongly renormalized through critical exponents which verify exact scaling relations.

arXiv:1511.05685v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 18 Nov 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

The interplay between disorder and many body interaction produces intricate phenomena whose theoretical understanding is extremely difficult, especially in high dimensions. It is natural then to consider one dimensional models and in this context a major role is played by the interacting Aubry-André model [1],[2], in which a quasi-random disorder in a one dimensional lattice is represented by a quasi-periodic potential $u\cos(2\omega\pi x+\phi)$, with ω irrational. This choice of disorder is realized in cold atoms experiments; using two incommensurate optical lattices one can simulate the Aubry-André model with bosons [4],[5] or fermions [6], and theoretical predictions can be compared with experimental results for a wide range of parameters. The interacting Aubry-André model catches a number of properties of more realistic systems; for instance it has a metal-insulator transition at a single particle level as in 3d random models. In addition, it exhibits non trivial topological properties [7]. While the properties of the non interacting Aubry-André model are well understood, several basic properties of the interacting case are still not known.

We consider the fermionic interacting Aubry-André model with hopping t and two-body interaction with strength U, which can be mapped in Heisenberg chains with a quasi-periodic magnetic field, and in one dimensional bosons with hard-core interaction. In the absence of quasi random disorder $u=0, U\neq 0$ the many body interaction produces *Luttinger liquid behavior* [8] characterized by anomalous critical exponents verifying exact scaling relation. In the opposite limit $U=0, u\neq 0$ the fermions are only subject to the disorder and their behavior can be deduced by the corresponding single particle Schroedinger equation (*almost-Mathieu* equation), which has extended eigenstates for $u<2t$ (metallic regime) [9][10] and localized eigenstates for $u>2t$ (Anderson insulator) [11]. In addition the spectrum is a Cantor set for any irrational ω [12]. Such remarkable properties are related to a deep connection of the properties of the almost Mathieu equation with the *Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser* (KAM) theorem expressing the stability of invariant tori in quasi integrable hamiltonian systems. In the extended regime $u<2t$, there are infinitely many gaps of decreasing size, forming a dense set; the zero temperature correlations of the fermionic many body non interacting problem decay exponentially if the chemical potential is in one of the gaps, while as a power law for chemical potentials in a point of the spectrum [13].

Given the complexity of the single particle problem, and the critical behavior produced by the many body interaction on free particles, it is not surprising that quantitative predictions for the interacting Aubry-André model are a difficult task, and have been pursued mostly by numerical methods in the fermionic [14], [15], [16],[17] and bosonic case [18],[19],[20]; non perturbative effects could however be missed due to size limitations. On the analytical side, in [21] it was studied a system of interacting fermions subject to a weak quasi-periodic potential with exponentially fast decaying harmonics, and insulating behavior was rigorously proved for chemical potentials in the gaps, provided that the corresponding harmonic is non vanishing; for Aubry-André potential, this says that the largest gap persists. In [22],[23] it was studied a quasi periodic potential with slow decaying harmonics, the Fibonacci potential [24], and second order analysis suggests a a scenario (whose validity at all orders has to be checked) in which the largest gaps persist in presence of interaction while the smaller ones are closed by an arbitrarily small interaction, so that an insulator-metal transition is present at weak coupling.

In the present paper we consider the fermionic interacting Aubry-André model in the extended regime (for the localized regime see [25]) and we address the following questions: do small gaps close or they all persists in presence of a weak interaction? If the system remains insulating, which is the interaction effect on the gaps? Due to the incommensurablity of the potential, the opposite Fermi points can be (almost) connected by Umklapp processes involving the exchange of very high momenta, producing a sort of infrared-ultraviolet mixing problem; the persistence or closure of small gaps is therefore a subtle problem related to the relevance or irrelevance of such processes, and it requires non-perturbative methods and the exploitation of number theoretical properties to be settled. Our conclusion, based on rigorous Renormalization Group methods methods, is that every gap persists in presence of a weak many body interaction; in contrast to what is expected for Fibonacci potential, no insulator-metal transition is present. The width of the gaps $\Delta_{n,U}$, $n=1,2,,,$ obtained by the decay rate of correlations, is strongly renormalized by the interaction through a critical exponent $\Delta_{n,U}\sim\Delta_{n,0}^{X_n}$, with X_n verifying the exact *scaling relation* $X_n=\frac{1}{2-K_n}$, with K_n is the exponent

of the oscillating part of the density-density correlation; note that K_n depends weakly from n and is greater or smaller than 1 depending on negative or positive U. The fact that in the interacting Aubry-André there is a natural scale with such exponent is apparently observed in numerical simulations [18]. As this renormalization is present in all gaps, including the smallest ones, the relative size of renormalized and bare gaps is dramatically increased or decreased depending on the repulsive or attractive nature of the interaction.

The paper is organized in the following way. In §II we define the model, and we discuss some number theoretical properties of the frequency; in §III we set up our exact Renormalization Group analysis. According to dimensional considerations, there is an infinite number of relevant or marginal interactions; however, in §IV we will show that indeed a huge number of such terms is indeed irrelevant and only a finite number of effective interactions is relevant or marginal. In §V we renormalize the true relevant or marginal terms, and in §VI we study the flow of the running coupling constants. Finally in §VII we prove the persistence of the gaps and the validity of the scaling relations and in §VIII the main conclusions are presented.

II. THE MODEL

The Hamiltonian of the fermionic interacting Aubry-André model, as appearing in cold atoms experiments, see *e.g.* [6], is ($t = 1$ for definiteness)

$$H = -\sum_x \frac{1}{2}(a^+_{x+1}a_x + a^+_x a^-_{x-1}) + \mu\sum_x a^+_x a^-_x \qquad (1)$$
$$+u\sum_x \cos(2\pi\omega x + \phi)a^+_x a^-_x + U\sum_{x,y} v(x-y)a^+_x a^-_x a^-_y a^+_y$$

with $x = 0, \pm1, \pm2, ...$, $u > 0$, $v(x-y)$ a short range interaction and $a^\pm_x$ fermionic creation or annihilation operators. It is not restrictive to choose the phase $\phi = 0$.

The irrational frequency ω is assumed *Diophantine*

$$||2n\pi\omega|| \geq C|n|^{-\tau}, \qquad n \neq 0 \qquad (2)$$

$||.||$ being the norm on the one dimensional 2π torus. This is the standard condition usually assumed for the non interacting case $U = 0$ [9],[10],[11] and it is physically not restrictive as Diophantine numbers have full measure.

In order to impose periodic boundary conditions (usually adopted in numerical simulations) we recall that the continued fraction representation of a number ω is the sequence of positive integers such that

$$\omega = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + ...}}} \qquad (3)$$

If ω is rational the sequence $a_0, a_1, ., , , a_N$ is finite, while if ω is irrational the sequence is infinite. In noble numbers, which are Diophantine, the sequence has the form $a_0; a_1, .., a_N, 1, 1, ...1, ...$ For instance the *golden ratio* $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ has representation $1; 1, ..1, ..$ and it verifies the Diophantine condition (2) with $\tau = 1$ and $C_0 = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Periodic boundary conditions are imposed considering a sequence of periodic potentials of period L such that quasi-periodicity is recovered in the thermodynamic limit. In order to do that we approximate ω by a sequence of rational numbers (*convergents*) $\frac{p_1}{q_1} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1}$, $\frac{p_2}{q_2} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2}}$ and so on. For the golden ratio, the sequence is given by the ratio of Fibonacci numbers $\{1, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{8}{5}, \frac{13}{8}, ., \frac{p_i}{q_i}, ...\}$. Properties of the convergents imply, see *e.g.* [13], that if ω verifies the Diophantine condition then $|\pi(n\frac{p_i}{q_i} - k)| \geq \frac{C}{2|n|^\tau}$ if $q_1 \leq n \leq \frac{q_i}{2}$ and any k. Therefore we can impose periodic boundary conditions by considering a sequence of lengths L_i and periodic potentials with rational frequencies ω_{L_i}, $i = 1, 2, 3, ..$ converging to a Diophantine number ω; we choose $\omega_{L_i} = \frac{p_i}{q_i}$ and $L_i = q_i$, so that the analogue of the Diophantine condition is verified at finite volume.

We are interested in the thermodynamical correlations at zero temperature, like the 2-point function with imaginary time $< a^-_{\mathbf{x}} a^+_{\mathbf{y}} >$, $a^\pm_{\mathbf{x}} = e^{Hx_0} a^\pm_x e^{-Hx_0}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x)$ and $<>= \frac{\mathrm{Tr} e^{-\beta H}\mathcal{T}.}{\mathrm{Tr} e^{-\beta H}}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is the time order product. Another important quantity is the density-density correlation $< \rho_{\mathbf{x}}; \rho_{\mathbf{y}} >_T$, with $\rho_{\mathbf{x}} = a^+_{\mathbf{x}} a^-_{\mathbf{x}}$ and T denotes truncation. In the non interacting $U = u = 0$ limit $< \mathcal{T} a^-_{\mathbf{x}} a^+_{\mathbf{y}} > |_{U=u=0} = g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ with

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int d\mathbf{k} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}}{-ik_0 + \cos k - \mu} \qquad (4)$$

We call p_F the Fermi momentum defined as $\mu = \cos p_F$; the denominator of (4) is vanishing in correspondence of the two Fermi momenta $\pm p_F$.

We will study the system considering both the quasi-periodic potential and the interaction as perturbations of the free Fermi gas. It could seem more natural to consider as unperturbed system the fermions described by the almost Mathieu equation, whose properties are known. This however would not work, as the presence of anomalous critical exponents related to the Luttinger liquid behavior says that an expansion in power series of U around zero cannot be convergent (unless U is much smaller than u).

III. RENORMALIZATION GROUP ANALYSIS

The Fermi momenta in correspondence of which there are gaps are

$$p_F = n_F \pi\omega \mod 2\pi \qquad (5)$$

with n_F integer. In order to take into account the shift of the chemical potential due to the interaction, we choose a chemical potential of the form $\cos(n_F\pi\omega) + \nu$, and we will choose the counterterm $\nu = \nu(u, U)$ so that the Fermi momentum is just (5).

The correlations can be obtained by derivative of the *generating function*, expressed by the following Grassmann integral

$$e^{W(\phi,J)} = \int P(d\psi) e^{\mathcal{V}(\psi)+B(\phi,J)} \tag{6}$$

where $\psi^{\pm}_{\mathbf{x}}$ are Grassmann variables, $P(d\psi)$ is the Grassmann gaussian integration with propagator (4), with $\mu = \cos n_F \pi\omega$, $\mathcal{V}$ is the effective interaction

$$\mathcal{V} = -U \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} v(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \psi^+_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^-_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^+_{\mathbf{y}} \psi^-_{\mathbf{y}} + \tag{7}$$
$$u \int d\mathbf{x} \cos(2\pi\omega x) \psi^+_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^-_{\mathbf{x}} + \nu \int d\mathbf{x} \psi^+_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^-_{\mathbf{x}}$$

with $\int d\mathbf{x} = \sum_x \int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2} dx_0$, and B is the source term

$$B(\phi, J) = \int d\mathbf{x} [\phi^+_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^-_{\mathbf{x}} + \phi^-_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^+_{\mathbf{x}} + J_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^+_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^-_{\mathbf{x}}] \tag{8}$$

The 2-point function is given by $\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \phi^-_{\mathbf{x}} \partial \phi^+_{\mathbf{y}}}|_0$ and the density-density correlation is given by $\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial J_{\mathbf{x}} \partial J_{\mathbf{y}}}|_0$.

The generating functional (6) can be analyzed by exact Wilsonian Renormalization Group. We introduce a smooth cut-off function $\chi_\rho(\mathbf{k})$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k)$,which is non vanishing for $\sqrt{k_0^2 + ((k - \rho p_F)_{\mathrm{mod}.2\pi})^2)} \leq \gamma$, where $\rho = \pm 1$ and $\gamma > 1$ is a suitable constant; therefore we can write the propagator as

$$\widehat{g}(\mathbf{k}) = \widehat{g}^{(u.v.)}(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{\rho=\pm} \widehat{g}_\rho(\mathbf{k}) \tag{9}$$

where $\widehat{g}_\rho(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\chi_\rho(\mathbf{k})}{-ik_0 + \cos k - \cos p_F}$, and correspondingly $\psi_{\mathbf{k}} = \psi^{(u.v.)}_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\rho=\pm 1} \psi_{\mathbf{k}',\rho}$ with $k = k' + \rho p_F$, $\mathbf{k}' = (k_0, k')$. This simply says that we can write the fermionic field as sum of two independent fields living close to one of the Fermi points, up to a regular field. We can further decompose

$$\widehat{g}_\rho(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{0} \widehat{g}^{(h)}_\rho(\mathbf{k}) \tag{10}$$

with $\widehat{g}^{(h)}_\rho(\mathbf{k})$ similar to $\widehat{g}_\rho(\mathbf{k})$ with χ replaced by f_h with, where $f_h(\mathbf{k})$ is non vanishing in a region $\gamma^{h-1} \leq \sqrt{k_0^2 + v_F^2 k'^2} \leq \gamma^{h+1}$, with $v_F = \sin p_F$. After the integration of $\psi^{(u.v.)}, \psi^{(0)}, .., \psi^{(h+1)}$ the generating function has the form

$$e^{W(\phi,J)} = \int P(d\psi^{(\leq h)}) e^{\mathcal{V}^{(h)}(\psi) + B^{(h)}(\psi,\phi,J)} \tag{11}$$

where $P(d\psi^{(\leq h)})$ has propagator $g^{(\leq h)}_\rho = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{h} g^{(k)}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{V}^{(h)}(\psi) =$

$$\sum_{m,n,\underline{\rho}} \int d\mathbf{k}'_1 ... d\mathbf{k}'_m W^{(h)}_{m,n}(\underline{\mathbf{k}}') \psi^{\varepsilon_1(\leq h)}_{\rho_1,\mathbf{k}'_1} ... \psi^{\varepsilon_m(\leq h)}_{\rho_m,\mathbf{k}'_m} \delta_{n,m}(\underline{\mathbf{k}}') \tag{12}$$

where $\delta_{n,m}(\underline{\mathbf{k}}')$ is a $L\beta$ times a periodic Kronecker delta non vanishing for

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i \rho_i k'_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i \rho_i p_F + 2n\pi\omega + 2l\pi \tag{13}$$

with $n = 0, 1, 2$ and $l = 0, \pm 1, ..$ The kernels $W^{(h)}_{m,n}$ are sum of Feynman diagrams obtained connecting vertices u, U or ν with propagators $g^{(k)}$ with $k > h$; $B^{(h)}$ is given by a similar expression with the only difference that some of the external lines are associated to ϕ or J external fields. In each of the Feynman diagrams contributing to $W^{(h)}_{m,n}$ there are a set of vertices $ue^{i\sigma_i \pi\omega x}$, $\sigma_i = \pm$ and $n = \sum_i \sigma_i$. The relation (13) is the momentum conservation; when the r.h.s. is vanishing the momentum *measured from the Fermi points* is also conserved. The single scale propagator has the following form

$$g^{(h)}_\rho(\mathbf{k}') = g^{(h)}_{rel,\rho}(\mathbf{k}') + r^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}') \tag{14}$$

where $g^{(h)}_{rel,\rho}(\mathbf{k}') = \frac{f_h(\mathbf{k}')}{-ik_0 + \rho v_F k'}$ is the dominant part of the propagator and $r^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}')$; therefore in the above RG procedure naturally emerges a description in terms of massless relativistic chiral fermions with propagator $g^{(h)}_{rel,\rho}(\mathbf{k}')$. Note also that in the effective potential $\mathcal{V}^{(h)}$ appear terms with any n, m, and only a few of them were originally present in the initial potential $\mathcal{V}$.

According to power counting arguments, the quartic terms are *marginal* and the quadratic terms are *relevant*; all other terms are irrelevant. There are then apparently *infinitely* many dimensionally relevant or marginal terms, depending on the value of n in (12). A natural distinction is if the r.h.s. of (13) is vanishing or not. The first case corresponds to processes *exactly connecting* the Fermi points; this happens in the following cases, if $m = 2, 4$: a)$m = 4$, $n = 0$ and $\sum_i \rho_i \varepsilon_i = 0$ (effective interaction of the form $\psi^+_+ \psi^-_+ \psi^+_- \psi^-_-$); b)$m = 4$, $|n| = 4n_F$ and $|\sum_i \varepsilon_i \rho_i| = 4$ (effective interaction $\psi^+_+ \psi^-_- \psi^+_+ \psi^-_-$ whose local part is vanishing, so is indeed irrelevant);c) $m = 2$, $|n| = 0$, $\rho_1 = \rho_2$ (chemical potential $\psi^+_\rho \psi^-_\rho$); d) $m = 2$, $|n| = 2n_F$, $\rho_1 = -\rho_2$ (gap $\psi^+_\rho \psi^-_{-\rho}$).

The other case is when the r.h.s. of (13) in non vanishing and here comes the main difference between the periodic and quasi-periodic case. In the periodic case when ω is rational the r.h.s. of (13) is *large* (if non vanishing); as the fields $\psi^{(\leq h)}$ carry a momentum k' with size smaller that γ^h, the condition (13) cannot be satisfied and such terms are vanishing for large $|h|$; therefore the terms with $m = 2, 4$ such that r.h.s. of (13) is non vanishing are indeed trivially irrelevant in the periodic case. In the quasi-periodic case instead the r.h.s of (13) can be arbitrarily small due to Umklapp (the momentum is defined modulo 2π for the presence of the lattice) , so that terms with large n in (13) persist at any Renormalization Group iteration. It is remarkable that dangerous processes in the infrared behavior are generated by the

exchange of large momenta, which is a sort of ultraviolet-infrared mixing problem. We will see in next section that the relevance or irrelevance of such terms depends in a subtle way from number theoretical properties of the frequency ω and the velocity of decay of the Fourier transform of the quasi-periodic potential.

IV. IRRELEVANCE OF THE NON RESONANT TERMS

According to the above analysis, it is natural to distinguish in the effective potential (12) between two kind of terms; in the *resonant terms* there is conservation of the momentum measured from the Fermi points, that is the r.h.s. of (13) is vanishing $\sum_i \rho_i p_F + 2n\pi\omega + 2l\pi = 0$; when the above condition is violated the terms are non resonant. We show now that if ω is irrational the non resonant terms are irrelevant, even if dimensionally relevant or marginal. Roughly speaking the reason is that, by the Diophantine condition (2), the r.h.s. of (13) is very small only if n is very large; this can produce a gain factor, provided that the decay of the harmonics of the potential is fast enough.

In order to put on a quantitative basis the above idea it is convenient, given a Feynman graph, to consider a maximally connected subset of lines corresponding to propagators with scale $h \geq h_v$ with at least a scale h_v, and we call it *cluster* v; the n_v^e lines external to the cluster v have scale smaller then h_v. Given a non maximal cluster v with scale h_v, there is surely a cluster v' with scale $h_{v'} > h_v$ containing it. The clusters are therefore subgraphs in which the propagators carries a scale larger than the external lines, that is the momentum measured from the Fermi points in the internal lines is larger than in the external; they are a standard tool in renormalization theory to avoid the so called overlapping divergences. We call $\bar{m}_v$ is the number of u, ν vertices internal to the cluster v and not of any smaller one; in the cluster v there are $k_{2,v}$ vertices $ue^{i\sigma_i \pi\omega x}$, $\sigma_i = \pm$ such that $N_v = \sum_i \sigma_i$, so that $|N_v| \leq k_{2,v}$. To each Feynman graph is associated a hierarchy of clusters; inside each cluster v there are S_v maximal clusters, that is clusters contained only in the cluster v and not in any smaller one, or trivial clusters given by a single vertex. Each of such inner clusters are connected by a tree of propagators with scale h_v; by integrating the propagators in the tree and bounding the others, and using that , $\int d\mathbf{x} |g_\rho^{(h)}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C\gamma^{-h}$ and that $|g_\rho^{(h)}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C\gamma^h$ we get that each graph of order k contributing to $W_{m,n}^{(h)}$ is bounded by the sum over the scales of

$$C^k U^{k_1} u^{k_2} \gamma^{(2-m/2)h} \prod_v \gamma^{(h_v - h_{v'})D_v} \prod_v \gamma^{-h_v \bar{m}_v} \qquad (15)$$

where k is the perturbative order, $k = k_1 + k_2$ and $D_v = 2 - n_v^e/2$ is the *scaling dimension* of the cluster v. The estimate (15) (a versions of Weinberg theorem for this model) says that no infrared divergence are present in the thermodynamic limit *provided that* there are no inner subgraphs v with four or two external lines and there are only quartic interactions. Indeed if $D_v < 0$ and $\bar{m}_v = 0$ for any v one can sum over the scales h_v obtaining a finite result: on the contrary if $D_v = 0$ for some v one gets a factor $|h|$ corresponding to a logarithmic divergence and if $D_v = -1$ a factor γ^{-h} summing over the scales.

In getting the above bound we have however not taken into account that ω is irrational and verifies the Diophantine condition. Indeed if v is a non resonant cluster with m external lines and verifying $\sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i \rho_i p_F + 2N_v\pi\omega + 2l\pi \neq 0$ then, by using (2)

$$m\gamma^{h_{v'}} \geq ||\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i k_i'|| \geq$$
$$||\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i n_F \pi\omega + 2N_v\pi\omega|| \geq C_0(|n_F| + |N_v|)^{-\tau} \qquad (16)$$

which implies that

$$|N_v| \geq C\gamma^{\frac{-h_{v'}}{\tau}} \qquad (17)$$

On the other hand $N_v = \sum_i \sigma_i$ and $|N_v| \leq k_{2,v}$ so that in a non resonant cluster there are necessarily a large number of u vertices $k_{2,v} \geq \widetilde{C}\gamma^{-h_{v'}/\tau}$, and the associated factor $u^{k_{2,v}}$ is therefore very small. By (17) we get, for $c < 1$

$$c^{-k_2} \leq \prod_v c^{-k_{2,v} 2^{h_{v'}-1}} \leq \prod_v c^{-C2^{h_v}\gamma^{-h_v/\tau} S_v^{NR}} \qquad (18)$$

where S_v^{NR} are the non resonant clusters contained in v. Therefore for each non-resonant cluster v with 2 or 4 external lines we have, by choosing $\gamma^{\frac{1}{\tau}}/2 = \gamma^\eta > 1$, than such factor is bounded by $\prod_v \gamma^{4h_v S_v^{NR}}$; this is consequence of the fact $e^{-|\log c| C 2^{-\eta h}} \leq \frac{\gamma^{Nh}}{[\log cC]^N e^N}$. We can use the factor $\prod_{v, n_v^e > 4} \gamma^{(h_v - h_{v'})D_v}$ to sum over the scales $h_v \leq h_{v'}$; regarding the cluster with two or four external lines we distinguish between resonant clusters $v \in R$ and non resonant clusters $v \in NR$; in the second case

$$\prod_{v \in NR, n_v^e = 2,4} \gamma^{(h_v - h_{v'})D_v} \prod_v \gamma^{2h_v S_v^{NR}} \leq \prod_{v \in NR, n_v^e = 2,4} \gamma^{h_v} \qquad (19)$$

so that the sum over the scales of the non resonan clusters with 2 or 4 external lines can be done. Finally the resonant clusters $v \in R$ is the union of R_1 and R_2, with R_1 containing at least one non-resonant vertex and R_2 containing only resonant vertices; therefore

$$\prod_{v \in R, n_v^e = 2,4} \gamma^{(h_v - h_{v'})D_v} \prod_v \gamma^{2h_v S_v^{NR}} \leq \qquad (20)$$
$$[\prod_{v \in R_1, n_v^e = 2,4} \gamma^{(h_v - h_{v'})D_v} \gamma^{h_v}][\prod_{v \in R_2, n_v^e = 2,4} \gamma^{(h_v - h_{v'})D_v}]$$

Therefore the only source of infraed divergences is due a) to the resonant clusters with $n_v^e = 2, 4$ containing only

resonant clusters; b) to the resonant clusters with $n_v^e = 2$ containing at least one non-resonant cluster. The factor c^{k_2} is absorbed in C^k. We see that there are no infrared divergences provided that there are no resonant subgraphs with 2 or 4 external lines; in other words all the non resonant terms are irrelevant.

A similar argument could be repeated even if all the harmonics are present in the quasi periodic potential, that is by choosing $\phi_x = \sum_n \widehat{\phi}_n e^{2in\pi\omega x}$, provided that $\widehat{\phi}_n$ decays exponentially fast, for instance $|\widehat{\phi}_n| \le e^{-\xi|n|}$, see [21]. On the other hand, some kind of fast decaying condition is necessary for proving the irrelevance of the non resonant terms; if the decay is too slow the above argument does not provide any gain for the non resonant terms. This is the case of Fibonacci potential considered in [22],[23], in which the Fourier coefficients decay only as $O(n^{-1})$.

V. RENORMALIZED EXPANSION

In the previous section we have identified the dangerous terms producing infrared divergences in thermodynamic limit; such divergences has to be removed in order to get physical informations from the expansions. We have to set-up a different integration procedure in which the resonant terms which are dimensionally relevant or marginal are *renormalized*; in this way one produces an expansion in terms of running coupling constants in which no infrared divergences are present. Of particular importance are the quadratic resonant terms with $\rho_1 = -\rho_2$, corresponding to the generation of a gap. Note that only when $n_F = 1$ the initial interaction V contains such terms, but if $n_F > 1$ they are generated by higher order terms in the RG iterations. It is convenient then to add to the effective action a term of the form

$$\sum_\rho \int d\mathbf{k}\sigma(\mathbf{k})\psi^+_{\mathbf{k},\rho}\psi^-_{\mathbf{k}',-\rho} - \sum_\rho \int d\mathbf{k}\alpha(\mathbf{k})\psi^+_{\mathbf{k},\rho}\psi^-_{\mathbf{k}',-\rho} \quad (21)$$

and include the first term in the free integration so that the propagator becomes massive; α is chosen so that the flow of the resonant quadratic terms is bounded. At the end we impose the condition $\alpha(u, U, \sigma) = \sigma$ determining $\sigma(u, U)$, so proving the generation of the gap in the original problem. In the case $n_F = 1$ this is of course not necessary ($\alpha = \sigma = 0$).

The integration procedure of the generating functions starts, as before, integrating the ultraviolet field $\psi^{(u.v.)}$; we can include the σ term in the free integration so that the generating function has the form (we set $\phi = J = 0$ and $n_F > 1$)

$$e^{\mathcal{W}(0,0)} = \int P_{1,\sigma_0}(d\psi^{(\le 0)}) e^{\mathcal{V}^{(0)}(\psi^{(\le 0)})} \quad (22)$$

where $P_{1,\sigma_0}(d\psi^{\le 0})$ which has propagator given by

$$g^{(\le 0)}_{\rho,\rho'}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = \int d\mathbf{k} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\chi_0(\mathbf{k}) \times \quad (23)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} -ik_0 + v_F \sin k' + c(k') & \sigma_0(\mathbf{k}') \\ \sigma_0(\mathbf{k}') & -ik_0 - v_F \sin k' + c(k') \end{pmatrix}^{-1}_{\rho,\rho'}$$

with σ_0 is equal to σ (or to u is $n_F = 1$)up to higher order corrections, $c(k) = \cos p_F(\cos k' - 1)$, and $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}$ is given by an expression similar to (12), in which now the kernels $W^{(0)}_{m,n}$ are series in u, U, α, ν. We describe the RG procedure iteratively. Assume that we have integrated $\psi^{(0)}, \psi^{(-1)}, ..., \psi^{(h+1)}$ obtaining

$$e^{\mathcal{W}(0,0)} = \int P_{Z_h,\sigma_h}(d\psi^{(\le h)}) e^{\mathcal{V}^{(h)}(\sqrt{Z_h}\psi^{\le h})} \quad (24)$$

where

$$g^{(\le h)}_{\rho,\rho'}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{Z_h}\int d\mathbf{k} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\chi_h(\mathbf{k}) \times \quad (25)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} -ik_0 + v_F \sin k' + c(k') & \sigma_h(\mathbf{k}') \\ \sigma_h(\mathbf{k}') & -ik_0 - v_F \sin k' + c(k') \end{pmatrix}^{-1}_{\rho,\rho'}$$

and $\mathcal{V}^{(h)}$ is a sum of monomials with kernels $W^{(h)}_n$ which are expressed as sum of renormalized Feynman diagrams with vertices or associated to the running coupling constants $\lambda_k, \delta_k, \nu_k, \alpha_k$, $k > h$ or to the non resonant terms u present in $\mathcal{V}$; they depend also from σ_k, Z_k through the propagators. The single scale propagator is equal to (14) up to terms with the same scaling properties and an extra $\sigma_h\gamma^{-h}$ in the diagonal, and an extra $(\sigma_h\gamma^{-h})^2$ in the non diagonal component. We have to extract from the effective potential $\mathcal{V}^{(h)}$ the non irrelevant part which is called $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{V}^{(h)}$; that is we write $\mathcal{V}^{(h)} = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{V}^{(h)} + \mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}^{(h)}$ with $\mathcal{R} = 1 - \mathcal{L}$. $\mathcal{L}$ acts non trivially only on the resonant terms. In particular $\mathcal{R}$ renormalize the non irrelevant subgraphs eliminating the infrared divergences. The presence of a mass has the effect that we integrate up a mass scale h^* defined by the condition $\gamma^{h^*} = \sigma_{h^*}$, $\sigma_h = \sigma_h(0)$; we will see that $\sigma_h \sim \sigma_0\gamma^{\eta_\mu h}$ with $\eta_\mu = O(\lambda)$. The scales $\le h^*$ can be integrated in a single step.

When $m = 2$, $\rho_1 = \rho_2$ the localization operation is

$$\mathcal{L}W^{(h)}_{\rho,\rho}(\mathbf{k}') = P_0 W^{(h)}_{\rho,\rho}(0) + \mathbf{k}'\partial P_0 W^{(h)}_{\rho,\rho}(0) \quad (26)$$

where P_0 sets all the $\sigma_k = 0$ in the propagators in the kernels; note that $P_0 W^{(h)}_{\rho,\rho}(\mathbf{k}')$ minus the r.h.s. of (26) is $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{k}'^2\partial^2 P_0 W^{(h)}_{\rho,\rho}$, so that a gain $\gamma^{2(h_{v'}-h_v)}$ is produced by the $\mathcal{R}$ operation, which is sufficient to make the corresponding sum over h_v convergent. On the other hand in $1-P_0$ there is an extra $(\frac{\sigma_{h_v}}{\gamma^{h_v}})^2$ (coming or from the correction to a diagonal propagator, or from a couple of diagonal propagators) producing an extra $[\frac{\sigma_{h^*}}{\gamma^{h^*}}]^2(\frac{\sigma_{h_v}}{\sigma_{h^*}}\frac{\gamma^{h^*}}{\gamma^{h_v}})^2 \le \gamma^{\frac{3}{2}(h^*-h_v)}$ which again eliminate the divergence. The term $P_0 W^{(h)}_{\rho,\rho}(0)$ contribute to the running coupling constant ν_h, the term $\partial_0 P_0 W^{(h)}_{\rho,\rho}(0)$ to the wave function

renormalization Z_h and $\partial_1 P_0 W^{(h)}_{\rho,\rho}(0) - \partial_0 P_0 W^{(h)}_{\rho,\rho}(0))$ to the Fermi velocity renormalization. Regarding the bilinear terms with $\rho_1 = -\rho_2$ we write $W^{(h)} = W^{(h)}_{a,\rho,-\rho} + W^{(h)}_{b,\rho,-\rho}$, where $W^{(h)}_{a,\rho,-\rho}$ contains only graphs with vertices $\lambda_k, \delta_k, \nu_k$ so that in $W^{(h)}_b$, the rest, there is at least an α_k or an irrelevant vertex term u; we include the linear part in σ of $W^{(h)}_{a,\rho,-\rho}(0)$ (there is at least one σ and the higher order terms have an extra $(\sigma_h \gamma^{-h})^2$) in the renormalization of σ_h and $W^{(h)}_{b,\rho,-\rho}(\mathbf{k}')$ in the renormalization of α_h.

Finally for the kernels with $m = 4$ and $\sum_i \rho_i \varepsilon_i = 0$ $\mathcal{L} W^h_4(\underline{\mathbf{k}}) = P_0 W^h_4(0)$ which is included in the renormalization of λ_h. In conclusion with the above decomposition of the effective potential and after a redefinition of the effective wave function renormalization and gap , (24) is equal to

$$\int P_{Z_{h-1},\sigma_{h-1}}(d\psi^{\le h}) e^{-\bar{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{V}^h(\sqrt{Z_{h-1}}\psi^{\le h}) - \mathcal{R}(\sqrt{Z_{h-1}}\psi^{\le h})} \tag{27}$$

with

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{V}^h = \gamma^h \nu_h F^h_\nu + \gamma^h \alpha_h F^h_\alpha + \delta_h F^h_\delta + \lambda_h F_\lambda \tag{28}$$

where

$$F_\lambda = \int \prod_{i=1}^4 d\mathbf{k}'_i \psi^+_{\mathbf{k}'_1,+} \psi^-_{\mathbf{k}'_2,+} \psi^+_{\mathbf{k}'_3,-} \psi^-_{\mathbf{k}'_4,-} \delta(\sum_i \sigma_i \mathbf{k}'_i) \tag{29}$$

$$F^h_\nu = \sum_\rho \int d\mathbf{k}' \psi^+_{\mathbf{k}',\rho} \psi^-_{\mathbf{k}',\rho} \quad F^h_\alpha = \sum_\rho \int d\mathbf{k}' \psi^+_{\mathbf{k}',\rho} \psi^-_{\mathbf{k}',-\rho}$$

$$F^h_\delta = \sum_\rho \int d\mathbf{k}' \rho v_F \sin k' \psi^+_{\mathbf{k}',\rho} \psi^-_{\mathbf{k}',\rho} \tag{30}$$

The terms $\int d\mathbf{k}' \psi^+_{\mathbf{k}',\rho} \psi^+_{\mathbf{k}',-\rho}$ and $\int d\mathbf{k}' (-ik_0 + v_F \rho \sin k') \psi^+_{\mathbf{k}',\rho} \psi^+_{\mathbf{k}',\rho}$ have been included in the free integration to produce the renormalization of σ_h and Z_h. In conclusion we write $P_{Z_{h-1},\sigma_{h-1}}(d\psi^{\le h-1}) = P_{Z_{h-1},\sigma_{h-1}}(d\psi^{\le h-1}) P_{Z_{h-1},\sigma_{h-1}}(d\psi^{(h)})$ and we can integrate the field $\psi^{(h)}$ obtaining an expression similar to (24) from which the procedure can be iterated. A similar analysis can be repeated in presence of the source term $\int d\mathbf{k}'_1 d\mathbf{k}'_2 W_{2,1}(\mathbf{k}'_1, \mathbf{k}'_2) J \psi^+_{\rho_1,\mathbf{k}'_1} \psi^+_{\rho_1,\mathbf{k}'_2}$; in that case

$$\mathcal{L} W^{(h)}_{2,1}(\mathbf{k}'_1, \mathbf{k}'_2) = P_0 W^{(h)}_{2,1}(0,0) \tag{31}$$

which is included in the non oscillating or oscillating renormalization of the density $Z^{(1)}_h$ or Z^+_h depending if $\rho_1 = \rho_2$ or $\rho_1 = -\rho_2$.

The outcome of the above procedure is that the correlations can be expressed by renormalized diagrams functions of the running coupling constants and such that the renormalization $\mathcal{R}$ acts on the resonant clusters eliminating the infrared divergences; indeed the sum over the scales can be done and on gets an estimate for graphs with l vertices $C^l \varepsilon^k_h \gamma^{(2-m/2)h}$ where $\varepsilon_h = max_{k \ge h}(|\lambda_k|, |\alpha_k|, |\nu_k|, |\delta_k|)$ and $\widehat{z}_v = 3/2$; therefore the sum over the scale give a finite result. Note that, from the above estimate, the graph with an irrelevant term have an extra γ^h. The above bound has been derived for a single graph but, due to cancellations related to the anticommutativity of fermions, it is also valid for the sum of all graphs of order n; it is then suitable to establish analyticity, provided that ε^k_h is bounded in h; in order to verify this we have to study the flow of the running coupling constants.

VI. THE FLOW OF THE RUNNING COUPLING CONSTANTS

The expansion described in the previous section is convergent provided that the effective couplings are small. The flow of the relevant couplings α_h, ν_h is controlled by choosing properly α, ν and one gets

$$\alpha_h(\mathbf{k}') \sim u^{2n_F} \gamma^h \quad \nu_h \sim (u + |U|)\gamma^h \tag{32}$$

and that λ_h, δ_h remain close to their initial value λ_0, δ_0. To prove (32) we note that the flow equation for ν_h is

$$\nu_{h-1} = \gamma^{-h}(\nu_0 + \sum_{k=0}^h \gamma^k \beta^{(k)}_\nu) \tag{33}$$

and by choosing ν_0 so that $\nu_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{-\infty} \gamma^k \beta^{(k)}_\nu = 0$ one gets

$$\nu_{h-1} = -\gamma^{-h} \sum_{k=-\infty}^h \gamma^k \beta^{(k)}_\nu \tag{34}$$

To $\beta^{(k)}_\nu$ contribute: a)terms with at least an irrelevant vertex, which are $\sim \gamma^k$; b)terms depending only from the running coupling constants λ, δ; in this case the contributions containing only propagators $g^{(k)}_L$ gives a vanishing contributions, in the others there is an extra γ^h; c)terms containg at least α_h, ν_h which are $\sim \gamma^h$. Using (34) we get the second of (32). Similarly we write

$$\alpha_{h-1}(\mathbf{k}') = \gamma^{-h}(\alpha_0(\mathbf{k}') + \sum_{k=0}^h \gamma^k \beta^{(k)}_\alpha(\mathbf{k}')) \tag{35}$$

and by choosing $(\alpha_0 - \sum_k \gamma^h W^{(h)}_{b,\omega,-\omega}(\mathbf{k}')) = 0$ we get

$$\alpha_{h-1}(\mathbf{k}') = -\gamma^{-h} \sum_{k=-\infty}^h \gamma^k \beta^{(k)}_\alpha(\mathbf{k}') \tag{36}$$

By construction in $\beta^{(k)}_\alpha$ there is at least an irrelevant term or an α_k so that $\alpha_h \sim u^{2n_F} \gamma^h$. The lowest order contribution to $\int d\mathbf{k} W^h(\mathbf{k}') \psi^-_{\mathbf{k}',+} \psi^-_{\mathbf{k}',-}$ is obtained by the chain graph with $2n_F$ u vertices propagators carrying momentum $k' + (n_F - 1)\pi\omega, ..., k' - (n_F + 1)\pi\omega$, and

the corresponding contribution to α_0, obtained setting $\mathbf{k}'=0$, is $a_{n_F}u^{2n_F}$ with, $n_F>1$

$$a_{n_F}(\mathbf{k}')=\prod_{k=1}^{2n_F}\frac{1}{-ik_0+\cos(\pi\omega(n_F-k))-\cos n_F\pi\omega} \tag{37}$$

which is non vanishing. Note that we can renormalize simply subtracting $a_{n_F}(0)$, as is sum of clusters containing at last a non resonant cluster, so by (20) a gain $\gamma^{h_{v'}-h_v}$ is sufficient. Regarding the higher order terms the are at least $O(u^{2n_F+1})$ or $O(Uu^{2n_F})$; by imposing $\sigma_0=\alpha_0$ we get

$$\sigma_0(\mathbf{k}')=u^{2n_F}(a_{n_F}(0)+uF_1(u)+UF_2(U,u)) \tag{38}$$

with F_1,F_2 bounded; therefore the term a_{n_F} dominates if U,u are small enough. Regarding the flow for λ_h,δ_h again we decompose the beta function in a part depending only from λ_h,δ_h and propagators $g_L^{(k)}$, see (14), and a rest which is $\sim\gamma^k$ (as there is a $r^{(h)}$ (14) or a α_h,ν_h, or irrelevant terms). This second part is summable while the first coincides with the Luttinger model one and is asymptotically vanishing so that

$$\lambda_h\to_{h\to-\infty}\lambda_{-\infty}(U)=U(\widehat{v}(0)-\widehat{v}(2p_F))+... \tag{39}$$

and similarly $\delta_h\to_{\to-\infty}\delta_{-\infty}(U)$. The flow equation for σ

$$\frac{\sigma_{h-1}(\mathbf{k}')}{\sigma_h(\mathbf{k}')}=1+\beta^h_\sigma(\mathbf{k})\equiv e^{\widetilde{\beta}^h_\sigma(\mathbf{k}')} \tag{40}$$

implies

$$\frac{\sigma_{h-1}(\mathbf{k}')}{\sigma_0(\mathbf{k}')}=e^{\sum_{k=h}^0\widetilde{\beta}^k_\sigma(\mathbf{k}')} \tag{41}$$

We divide the beta function for σ_h in a part $\beta^h_{\alpha,a}$ depending from $g_L^{(k)}$, see (14), and containing only the vertex λ_k,δ_k and a rest $\beta^h_{\alpha,b}$ which is $\sim\gamma^h$ (as there is a $r^{(h)}$ (14) or a ν_h, or irrelevant terms); moreover $\widetilde{\beta}^k_\sigma(\mathbf{k}')-\widetilde{\beta}^k_\sigma(0)\sim\gamma^{h-k}$ as $\mathbf{k}'\sim\gamma^h$. Therefore

$$\sigma_h\sim\sigma_0(\mathbf{k}')\gamma^{\eta_\mu h}\qquad \eta_\mu=1-\frac{\lambda_{-\infty}}{2\pi v_F}+... \tag{42}$$

where the exponent η_μ takes contribution only from g_L depends only from $\lambda_{-\infty},\delta_{-\infty}$. Similarly the wave function and density renormalizations behaves as

$$Z_h\sim\gamma^{\eta_z h}\quad Z_h^{(1)}\sim\gamma^{\eta_z h}\quad Z_h^{(+)}\sim\gamma^{\eta_+ h} \tag{43}$$

where η_z,η_+ takes no contribution contribution only from g_L and $\lambda_{-\infty},\delta_{-\infty}$; again the contribution with $\alpha>k,\nu_k$ or from $r^{(h)}$ (14) are $O(\gamma^h)$.

VII. GAP RENORMALIZATION AND SCALING RELATIONS

The Renormalization Group is iterated up to a scale h^* such that $\sigma_{h^*}\sim\gamma^{h^*}$; as $\sigma_h=\sigma_0\gamma^{\eta_\mu h}$ then $\gamma^{h^*}=(\sigma_0)^{\frac{1}{1-\eta_\mu}}$. All the scales $<h^*$ can be integrated in a single step, as the scaling properties of the propagator $g^{<h^*}(\mathbf{x})$ are the same as $g^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})$ for $k\geq h^*$. As a consequence, the 2-point propagator decays faster that any power with rate $\gamma^{h^*}=(\sigma_0)^{\frac{1}{1-\eta_\mu}}$; this provides an estimate of the gap

$$\Delta_{n,U}\sim(\Delta_{n,0}+F_{n,U})^{X_n} \tag{44}$$

with $X_n=\frac{1}{1-\eta_\mu}$, $\Delta_{n,U}$ is the gap in the non interacting case and $F_{n,u,U}$ is of order Uu^{2n_F}. In conclusion when $p_F=n\pi\omega$ the 2-point function decays for large distances as

$$|<\psi^-_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^+_{\mathbf{y}}>|\leq\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{1+\eta_z}}\frac{C_N}{(\Delta_{n,U}|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|)^N} \tag{45}$$

for any integer N. Similarly the density-density correlations can be written as

$$<\rho_{\mathbf{x}}\rho_{\mathbf{y}}>=G_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})+\sin p_F(x-y)G_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})+G_3(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \tag{46}$$

where

$$|G_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|\leq\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{2K_n}}\frac{1}{(\Delta_{n,U}|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|)^N} \tag{47}$$

with $2K_n=2(1+\eta_+-\eta_z)$; G_1 and G_3 verify similar bounds with K_n replaced by 1 and 3/2 respectively. By construction $\eta_\mu=\eta_+-\eta_z$ and using that $X_n=\frac{1}{1-\eta_\mu}$ we get finally

$$X_n=\frac{1}{2-K_n} \tag{48}$$

Note that, for u,U small

$$\Delta_{n,U}/\Delta_{n,0}\sim u^{\frac{-2n(1-K_n)}{2-K_n}} \tag{49}$$

and $K_n>1$ for attractive interactions and <1 for repulsive interactions; therefore the relative size of the gaps is greatly decreased or increased depending from the attractive or repulsive nature of the interaction. Finally, as the exponents depends from $\lambda_{-\infty},\delta_{-\infty}$ and $g_L^{(h)}$ only, they verify in addition the usual Luttinger liquid relations, whose validity can be established by Ward Identities [26]; in particular the exponent in the 2-point function verifies $\eta_z=\frac{2-K_n-K_n^{-1}}{2}$.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

A non perturbative Renormalization Group analysis of the interacting Aubry-André model for fermions, as realized for instance in cold atoms experiments [6], has been performed. We prove that, for weak coupling, all the infinitely many gaps persist, and no insulator-metal transition is present even if the chemical potential is in correspondence of small gaps of the non interacting spectrum. As only a single harmonic is present in the Aubry-André

potential, the gaps in the non interacting spectrum can be generated at very high order and are therefore very small; the relative size of small gaps is therefore greatly enhanced or depressed depending from the nature of the interaction, an effect which could be seen in experiments. The gaps are renormalized by critical exponents verifying exact scaling relations, and this is in apparent agreement with numerical simulations in [18]. The behavior of the Aubry-André model, in which all the gaps persists, is therefore rather different from the scenario proposed in [23] in the case of Fibonacci potential, in which even an arbitrarily weak interaction closes small gaps and produces an insulating-metal transition. It is likely that an extension of the methods adopted here can be also used for a full analysis of the Fibonacci case beyond lowest order truncation, to check the validity of this scenario.

[1] S. Aubry, G. André, Ann. Israel Phys. Soc. 3, 133 (1980).
[2] P. G. Harper, Proc. Phys. Soc. London A 68, 874 (1955).
[3] D. Levine and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 2477 (1984).
[4] G. Roati, C. D'Errico, L. Fallani, M. Fattori, C. Fort, M. Zaccanti, G. Modugno, M. Modugno, M. Inguscio, Nature 453, 895 (2008).
[5] C. D Errico, E. Lucioni, L. Tanzi, L. Gori, G. Roux, I. P. McCulloch, T. Giamarchi, M. Inguscio, G. Modugno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 095301 (2014)
[6] M. Schreiber, S. Hodgman, P. Bordia, H. Luschen, M. Fischer, R. Vosk, E. Altman, U. Schneider, I. Bloch, arxiv 1501.0566
[7] Y. E. Kraus, Y. Lahini, Z. Ringel, M. Verbin, and O. Zilberberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 106402 (2012).
[8] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 1358 (1980)
[9] E. Dinaburg, E, Y. Sinai, Funct. an. and its app. 9, 279 (1975)
[10] H. Eliasson Comm. Math. Phys. 146:447–482 (1992)
[11] J. Froehlich, T. Spencer, T. Wittvwer, Comm. Math. Phys. 88, 151 (1983)
[12] A. Avila, S. Jitomirskaya, Ann. of. Math. 170 303 (2009)
[13] G. Benfatto, G. Gentile, V.Mastropietro, J. Stat. Phys. 89, 655-708 (1997)
[14] K. He, I. I. Satija, C. W. Clark, A. M. Rey, and M. Rigol, Physical Review A 85, 013617 (2012)
[15] S. Iyer, V. Oganesyan, G. Refael, D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 87, 134202 (2013)
[16] V.P. Michal, B.L. Altshuler, G.V. Shlyapnikov Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 045304 (2014)
[17] Y. E. Kraus, O. Zilberberg and R. Berkovits, Phys. Rev. B 89, 161106(R) (2014).
[18] C. Schuster, R. Rmer, M. Schreiber, Physical Review B, 65, 11, 115114 (2002)
[19] T. Roscilde, Phys. Rev. A 77, 063605 (2008)
[20] G. Roux, T. Barthel, I. P. McCulloch, C. Kollath, U. Schollwoeck, T. Giamarchi Phys. Rev. A 78, 023628 (2008)
[21] V. Mastropietro, Comm. Math. Phys. 201, 81 (1999)
[22] G. Vidal, D. Mouhanna, T. Giamarchi, Phys, Rev. Lett. 83, 3908 (1999)
[23] G. Vidal, D. Mouhanna, T. Giamarchi, Physical Review B, vol. 65, Issue 1, id. 014201 (2002)
[24] C. Sire and R. Mosseri, J. Phys. France, 50 (1989), 34473461
[25] V. Mastropietro Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 180401 (2015)
[26] G. Benfatto, P. Falco, V Mastropietro Phys. Rev. Lett. 104. 075701 (2010).